APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,893	12/29/2000	Robert Palifka	09991-014001	6685	
26178 7590 01/15/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER		
			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2863		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	01/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/749,893	PALIFKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael P. Nghiem	2863		

	Michael P. Nghiem	2863	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 16.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS		90 - 41 4 4 b	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further contact.</li> </ol>			ecause
(a) I hey raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i E below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-33,35,36,38,39,41-45,48,50-52,54-5</u>	8,60,61,63-76,78,80-99,102,104 a	<u>nd 105</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered a necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′	ls to provide a l).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. ☑ Other: Note attached Interview Summary.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>12-03-0</u>	<u>7,9-11-07</u>	
<del></del>			
	•	/Michael P. Nahiem	N/

/Michael P. Nghiem/ Primary Examiner, GAU 2863 1-8-2008 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claims 29,44,45,52,102, and 104 raise new issues of definition over the prior art of record.